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**SCHOOL SCIENTIFIC REVIEW COMMITTEE (SRC) REPORT**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School:** |  | **SBE** |  |  | **SEPS** |  |  | **SHLS** |  |  | **SHSS** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **SRC Review Id:** |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SRC Chair Name:** |  |  |  |
| **Designation:** |  |  |
|  |  |  | **Signature & Date** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Dean Name:** |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  | **Signature\* & Date** |

(\* signature provided when SRC Report has been submitted for transmittal to OR-NSU)

**Project Title:**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Principal Investigator:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name:** |  |
| **Designation:** |  |
| **Department:** |  |

**Co-Investigators:** (add cells as needed)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** |  | **Faculty/Staff Rank or Function** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**SRC Final Recommendation as to Scientific Merit:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **First Review** | **Revision Review** |
|  | Approve, without revision |  | Approve (after revision) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Tentatively approve, pending acceptable revision |  | Disapprove/reject |
|  | **Revision due date:** |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Disapprove/reject |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Recommended budget:** | **BDT** (Add comment where modification of applicant’s budget is recommended) |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ethical clearance** |  | IRB/ERC |  | IACUC |  | Not applicable |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Biosafety review required?** |  | Yes |  | No |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Scientific Merit Score:** |  **(Out of 100% possible)** |

**Narrative Comments Justifying SRC Recommendation**

According to procedure stipulated by the School SRC, faculty research proposals/projects being reviewed for scientific merit should satisfy standard expectations for *research methodology in the respective discipline*, including: (1) clarity and rationality of the research question, thesis, hypotheses; (2) appropriateness of the research design; (3) strength and feasibility of the proposed research methods; (4) qualifications and experience of the researcher (e.g., as principal investigator/co-investigator) and/or research team (when involving research associates, research assistants, etc.); (5) manifest familiarity of the researcher with current scholarship on the research question, including relevant background materials; (6) for statistical studies, adequacy of sampling procedure and valid plan for statistical analysis and data safety control and monitoring. *Provide narrative comments below justifying the SRC recommendation on this proposal.*